

# Semi-Annual Geospatial Data Coordination Report

May 29, 2013



#### Introduction

The Department of Homeland Security's (DHS's) Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) has developed a Geospatial Data Coordination Policy that establishes the principles for coordinating, communicating, documenting, and reporting existing and proposed geospatial data collected, produced, or manipulated under FEMA's Risk MAP Program. The purpose is to facilitate data sharing and secondary data use. The primary goals of this policy are to ensure that the Risk MAP Program will:

- 1. protect its investments in geospatial data by requiring data to be documented, standard-compliant, and easily accessible to the general public when appropriate and release of the data does not pose a security risk;
- 2. maximize the use of partnerships, including Federal, State and local partners, for the acquisition and production of geospatial data;
- 3. minimize duplicative requests from Federal agencies to State and local data stewards;
- 4. recognize the value of existing coordination efforts at the State and local levels; and
- 5. comply with all Federal requirements for coordination and reporting of geospatial activities.

This report supports the objectives of the coordination policy and summarizes some of the key results of the policy implementation.

#### Summary

This report has three sections. The first is a table showing FEMA's imagery and elevation data acquisition activity per FEMA Region and per fiscal year of the Risk MAP program. The acquisition activity is of three types: FEMA spending, gathered leverage (reuse of existing data), and production leverage (partner resource contributions towards data production.)

The second section, "Gathered Leverage in Risk MAP Projects, Fiscal Year 2012", provides a detailed listing of Risk MAP project tasks funded in FY2012 utilizing gathered leverage. The section includes the leverage contributing partner and a valuation of the leveraged data.

The third section, "Elevation Data Sets Produced in Risk MAP, Fiscal Year 2012", provides Agency members of the National Digital Elevation Program (NDEP) and other potential users with a summary of FEMA's elevation data sets produced with FY2012 funding. "Produced in Risk MAP" means that FEMA provided at least part of the funding for the data production.

Orthophoto data used on the Risk MAP program is managed, distributed and archived by the USGS EROS Data Center.

Elevation data used on Risk MAP program is often available through the USGS, but the comprehensive archive is managed by the FEMA Engineering Library. Most of the data is available without restriction, but fees are usually charged for research and retrieval. Some partners who provide elevation data for FEMA projects restrict the redistribution of the data and FEMA does not make it available. Information on obtaining data from the FEMA Engineering Library is available at: http://www.fema.gov/plan/prevent/fhm/st\_order.shtm

This report is updated on a semi-annual basis occurring in the Spring and Fall.

Wednesday, May 29, 2013 9:25:36 AM Page 2



### Geospatial Data Usage in FEMA Flood Studies - FEMA Funding versus Leverage

					Base M	ap D	Data		Elevation Data									
Region	Source		FY 2009		FY 2010		FY 2011	FY 2012		FY 2009		FY 2010		FY 2011		FY 2012		
	FEMA budget	\$	44,089	\$	34,542	\$	70,313	\$ 59,887	\$	680,262	\$	888,075	\$	790,000	\$	6,402		
1	Gathered Lev.	\$	733,100	\$	93,480				\$	3,400	\$	306,060	\$	320,416				
	Production Lev.			\$	23,900						\$	138,620	\$	307,084				
	FEMA budget	\$	258,446	\$	64,646	\$	27,266	\$ 26,899	\$	1,133,327	\$	665,070	\$	1,010,000	\$	182,992		
2	Gathered Lev.	\$	288,923				\$183,100		\$	40,505								
	Production Lev.	\$	160,000						\$	650,016								
	FEMA budget	\$	308,136	\$	120,473		\$185,528	\$37,939	\$	128,578	\$	1,405,038	\$	1,200,000	\$	24,119		
3	Gathered Lev.	\$	156,700	\$	52,900				\$	214,200								
	Production Lev.	\$	2,935						\$	740,950								
	FEMA budget	\$	317,364	\$	150,467		\$173,184	\$65,334	\$	1,498,014	\$	7,661,773	\$	3,400,000	\$	860,701		
4	Gathered Lev.	\$	2,350,042	\$	424,700		\$185,025		\$	4,398,672	\$	1,420,968	\$	2,653,946				
	Production Lev.	\$	307,862						\$		\$	32,384	\$	2,689				
	FEMA budget	\$	193,396	\$	114,260		\$108,890	\$36,602	\$	60,517	\$	1,931,721	\$	1,870,000	\$	49,915		
5	Gathered Lev.	\$	523,521	\$	185,923		\$30,556	\$235,112	\$	2,463,526	\$	1,533,016	\$	5,315,513	\$	1,282,170		
	Production Lev.	\$	144,224	\$	45,834		\$47,534		\$	1,105,931	\$	208,800	\$	1,515,659				
	FEMA budget	\$	134,260	\$	40,861		\$35,205	\$2,805	\$	742,584	\$	3,188,209	\$	4,340,000	\$	393,138		
6	Gathered Lev.	\$	333,816				\$4,700		\$	413,800								
	Production Lev.		·	\$	3,717				\$	237,800	\$	5,837						
	FEMA budget	\$	140,927	\$	35,055		\$126,192	\$26,017	\$	867,853	\$	1,282,326	\$	1,830,000	\$	9,360		
7	Gathered Lev.	\$	1,337,012	\$	734,250		\$398,810	\$75,400	\$	2,736,184	\$	549,600	\$	793,800	\$	479,000		
	Production Lev.	\$	91,562	\$	10,760		\$5,460		\$	1,430,545	\$	459,670	\$	756,250				
	FEMA budget	\$	87,064	\$	77,179		\$46,469	\$32,239	\$	483,992	\$	1,043,986	\$	1,570,000	\$	77,078		
8	Gathered Lev.	\$	103,500	\$	5,100				\$	538,895								
	Production Lev.	\$	133,862						\$	115,775								
	FEMA budget	\$	284,329	\$	217,473		\$69,276	\$43,039	\$	2,266,866	\$	1,871,184	\$	2,390,000	\$	73,561		
9	Gathered Lev.	\$	134,300	\$	21,718		\$8,672		\$	28,742	\$	36,828						
	Production Lev.						\$3,000						\$	24,000				
	FEMA budget	\$	135,624	\$	196,991		\$92,975	\$25,451	\$	383,541	\$	921,081	\$	1,600,000	\$	84,293		
10	Gathered Lev.	\$	96,719	\$	94,332				\$	894,730	\$	190,354	\$	212,350	\$	192,778		
	Production Lev.										\$	168,000						
	FFNAA budgat	Ċ	1 002 625	Ļ	1.051.047		\$935,298	\$356,212	ċ	0.245.524	,	20.000.462	Ċ	20,000,000	Ļ	1,761,559		
Nation	FEMA budget Gathered Lev.	\$	1,903,635 6,057,633	\$	1,051,947				\$	8,245,534	\$	20,858,463	\$	20,000,000	\$			
ivation		\$		\$	1,612,403		\$810,863	\$310,512	\$	11,732,654	\$	4,036,826	\$ ¢	9,296,025	\$	1,953,948		
	Production Lev.	\$	840,445	\$	84,211		\$55,994		\$	6,003,693	\$	1,013,311	\$	2,605,682				

\* Dollar values represent the total FEMA budget or value of Leverage, per region / per fiscal year / per data type (base map

In the columns immediately to the right of the dollar values are those FEMA budgets and Leverages expressed as a percentage of (FEMA budget + Leverage).

Empty cells indicate that no activity is reported.

FY12 is the last full reporting year. Budget and leverage data for FY13 is not complete as of the publication of this report.

Wednesday, May 29, 2013 9:25:36 AM Page 3



## Gathered Leverage in Risk MAP Projects, Fiscal Year 2012

Reg.	State	Case Number	Data Type	Leverage Contributing Partner	Leverage Funded	Leverage Dollar Value	Leverage Task Comments
5	MI	13-05-4239\$	Base Map	USDA Farm Service Agency (FSA)	2012	\$12,500	
5	MI	13-05-4234\$	Base Map	USDA Farm Service Agency (FSA)	2012	\$7,400	NAIP Imagery
5	MI	13-05-4234\$	Elevation	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Detroit District	2012	\$14,800	Lake Michigan coastal LiDAR
5	MI	13-05-4235\$	Base Map	USDA Farm Service Agency (FSA)	2012	\$7,100	NAIP Imagery
5	MI	13-05-4235\$	Elevation	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Detroit District	2012	\$14,200	Lake Michigan coastal LiDAR
5	MI	13-05-4236S	Base Map	USDA Farm Service Agency (FSA)	2012	\$7,300	NAIP Imagery
5	MI	13-05-4236S	Elevation	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Detroit District	2012	\$14,600	Lake Michigan coastal LiDAR
5	MI	13-05-4237S	Base Map	USDA Farm Service Agency (FSA)	2012	\$7,300	NAIP Imagery
5	MI	13-05-4237S	Elevation	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Detroit District	2012	\$14,600	Lake Michigan coastal LiDAR
5	MI	13-05-4238S	Elevation	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Detroit District	2012	\$10,800	
5	MI	13-05-4239S	Elevation	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Detroit District	2012	\$5,000	
5	MI	13-05-4240S	Base Map	USDA Farm Service Agency (FSA)	2012	\$7,600	
5	MI	13-05-4243S	Elevation	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Detroit District	2012	\$107,800	Lake Michigan coastal LiDAR
5	MI	13-05-4241S	Base Map	USDA Farm Service Agency (FSA)	2012	\$15,700	
5	MI	13-05-4241S	Elevation	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Detroit District	2012	\$5,200	
5	MI	13-05-4242S	Base Map	USDA Farm Service Agency (FSA)	2012	\$19,600	NAIP imagery
5	MI	13-05-4242S	Elevation	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Detroit District	2012	\$39,200	Lake Michigan LiDAR imagery
5	MI	13-05-4243S	Base Map	USDA Farm Service Agency (FSA)	2012	\$53,900	NAIP imagery
5	MI	13-05-4240S	Elevation	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Detroit District	2012	\$2,400	

Wednesday, May 29, 2013 9:25:38 AM Page 4



## FEMA Semi-Annual Geospatial Data Coordination Report

Reg.	State	Case Number	Data Type	Leverage Contributing Partner	Leverage Funded	Leverage Dollar Value	Leverage Task Comments
5	MI	13-05-4238\$	Base Map	USDA Farm Service Agency (FSA)	2012	\$35,600	
5	WI	13-05-3721S	Elevation	Sheboygan County, WI	2004	\$214,000	[topo][dollars][Sheboygan_County]
5	WI	13-05-3721S	Elevation	Washington County, WI	2007	\$745,200	[topo][dollars][Washington_County]
5	WI	13-05-3721S	Elevation	Wisconsin Department of Commerce	2010	\$94,370	[topo][dollars][Milwaukee_County]
5	WI	13-05-3721S	Base Map	Wisconsin Regional Orthophoto Consortium (WROC)	2010	\$61,112	$[base\_map][dollars][Fond\_du\_Lac\_County][Milwaukee\_County][Ozaukee\_County][Sheboygan\_County]\\$
7	IA	13-07-0038\$	Elevation	Iowa Department of Natural Resources	2013	\$98,200	[GCQ][topo][SquareMile]
7	IA	13-07-0036S	Elevation	Iowa Department of Natural Resources	2013	\$114,600	[GCQ][topo][SquareMile]
7	IA	13-07-0037S	Elevation	Iowa Department of Natural Resources	2013	\$115,400	[GCQ][topo][SquareMile]
7	NE	12-07-3386S	Base Map	USDA Farm Service Agency (FSA)	2012	\$75,400	[GCQ][base_map][SquareMile]
7	NE	12-07-3386S	Elevation	Natural Resources Conservation Service	2012	\$150,800	[GCQ][topo][SquareMile] Request 121751
10	WA	11-10-0395\$	Elevation	Thurston County, WA	2010	\$192,778	This is for Thurston County, WA [GCQ]

Wednesday, May 29, 2013 9:25:38 AM Page 5



### Elevation Data Sets Produced in Risk MAP, Fiscal Year 2012

Reg.	State	Case Number	MIP Proj Name	Year Collecte	Leverage Value	NDEP State-County	Status	Vertical Datum	Data Format	Collection Method	Use Constraints	Horizontal Posting	Vertical Accuracy	Lead Agency
2	NY	13-02-12315	REG-Hudson_Hoosic (W)-FY12- 02020003											
4	FL	13-04-1869\$	REG-SW Florida Coastal Study FY12 (C) Sarastoa-Collier											
4	MS	13-04-2388S	REG-Middle Pearl-Strong Topo MS FY12 (T) 03180002 Rankin+			Unknown	In work	North American	Mass Points/Break Lines; DEM	LIDAR	No	1 meter		FEMA
4	SC	13-04-3150S	REG-Seneca R Watershed SC FY11 (W) 03060101 Anderson+			Unknown	In work	North American	Mass Points/Break Lines; Contours; DEM	LIDAR	No	1 meter	1 meter	FEMA
5	MI	13-05-4243\$	RM-REG-FY12-MI-Mackinac County Lake Michigan Coastal Update-C		\$107,800									
5	WI	13-05-4197\$	RM-REG-FY12-WI-Oconto County Lake Michigan Coastal Update-C			Unknown	In work	To be Determined	Mass Points/Variable Spacing	LIDAR	Yes, contact the lead	To be Determined		USACE
5	WI	13-05-2619\$	RM-REG-FY12-WI-Door County Lake Michigan Coastal Update-C			Unknown	In work	To be Determined	Mass Points/Variable Spacing	LIDAR	Yes, contact the lead	To be Determined		USACE
5	WI	13-05-4198\$	RM-REG-FY12-WI-Sheboygan County Lake Michigan Coastal Update-C			Unknown	In work	To be Determined	Mass Points/Variable Spacing	LIDAR	Yes, contact the lead	To be Determined		USACE
5	WI	13-05-4199\$	RM-REG-FY12-Manitowoc County Lake Michigan Coastal Update-C			Unknown	In work	To be Determined	Mass Points/Variable Spacing	LIDAR	Yes, contact the lead	To be Determined		USACE
5	WI	13-05-4200S	RM-REG-FY12-WI-Racine County Lake Michigan Coastal Update-C			Unknown	In work	To be Determined	Mass Points/Variable Spacing	LIDAR	Yes, contact the lead	To be Determined		USACE
5	WI	13-05-4202S	RM-REG-FY12-WI-Kenosha County Lake Michigan Coastal Update-C			Unknown	In work	To be Determined	Mass Points/Variable Spacing	LIDAR	Yes, contact the lead	To be Determined		USACE
5	WI	13-05-4205\$	RM-REG-FY12-WI-Milwaukee County Lake Michigan Coastal Update-C			Unknown	In work	To be Determined	Mass Points/Variable Spacing	LIDAR	Yes, contact the lead	To be Determined		USACE
5	WI	13-05-4206S	RM-REG-FY12-WI-Kewaunee County Lake Michigan Coastal Update-C			Unknown	In work	To be Determined	Mass Points/Variable Spacing	LIDAR	Yes, contact the lead	To be Determined		USACE
5	WI	13-05-2177\$	RM-REG-FY12-WI-Brown County Lake Michigan Coastal Update-C			Unknown	In work	To be Determined	Mass Points/Variable Spacing	LIDAR	Yes, contact the lead	To be Determined		USACE
6	TX	12-06-0897S	(REG) Middle Brazos-Palo Pinto TX HUC 12060201 (FY11 Watershed)			Texas	Proposed	North American	Mass Points/Variable Spacing	LIDAR	To be determined	To be Determined		FEMA
7	KS	13-07-0316S	Lower Kansas HUC 10270104 - FY12 (O-W)-REG			Unknown	Complete	North American	DEM	LIDAR	No	1.4m	0.125 meters	FEMA

Wednesday, May 29, 2013 9:25:38 AM Page 6



# FEMA Semi-Annual Geospatial Data Coordination Report

Reg.	State	Case Number	MIP Proj Name	Year Collecte	Leverage Value	NDEP State-County	Status	Vertical Datum	Data Format	Collection Method	Use Constraints	Horizontal Posting	Vertical Accuracy	Lead Agency
8	SD	12-08-0739S	REG-Lewis and Clark; Big Sioux Watershed (Union County). W-FY12 HUC 10170101; 10170203											
10	OR	13-10-03715	LiDAR- OR; Clackamas Co., Multnomah County- FY12 (E)											
10	WA	11-10-0395S	REG-WA-Deschutes FY12 (WO) HUC17110016		\$192,778									
10	WA	11-10-0425S	REG-WA-Island County Coastal-FY12 (C)			Island, Washington	Complete	North American	Contours; DEM	LIDAR	No	1 meter	0.3 meters	FEMA

Wednesday, May 29, 2013 9:25:38 AM Page 7



End of report - this page intentionally left blank

Wednesday, May 29, 2013 9:25:38 AM Page 8